SINGLE BENCH

P.R. No. 4446/06
Presented by Shri B.L. Sahu.
dated 14/8/06

CF/1002 (2) (4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) 4544 /2006 S.B

PETITIONER

AYTARAM NAGESH
S/o Shri P..R. Nagesh By. Post- Assistant Teacher Aged About 47 Yrs
R/o Village Kolenge (Block Darbha)
Tahsil Jagdalpur, Bastar (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENT

1. **State of Chhattisgarh**
Through the Secretary,
Department of Tribal Welfare
Department, D.K.S. Bhavan,
Mantralya Raipur.(C.G.)

2. **The Collector- Bastar ,**
Jagdalpur, Bastar (C.G.)

3. **Assistant Commissioner**
Tribal Welfare
Jagdalpur, Bastar (C.G.)

4. **Block Education Officer**
Block- Darbha , Tahsil
Jagdalpur Distt. Bastar (C.G.)

Rina 14/08/06
RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK TO A. G. BILASPUR

12/8/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI MANDAMUS ETC AND FOR APPROPRIATE ORDER/ ORDETS, DIRECTION/ DIRECTIONS.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(S).4544 **सन्** 2006

25

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -1-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

30.08.2006

Shri Prakash Tiwari, Counsel for the Petitioner.

Shri Satish Gupta Dy. G.A for the State on advance copy.

By the present petition, the petitioner has challenged his transfer order dated 07.07.2006 passed by the Respondent No.2, whereby he has been transferred from Middle School, Kamanar, Block: Darbha to Primary School Mahimagawadi.

The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner has been transferred showing him as working at Middle School, Kamanar, Block: Darbha, whereas he is working at Primary School, Koleng, Block: Darbha since 2003. Therefore, the transfer has been made on wrong premises.

In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to the respondent No.2 and in turn he be directed to decide the same, to which learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.2 along

(पीछे देखिये)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(S) 4544 सन् 2006

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

with the copy of this petition within ten days from today raising all his grievances and in turn respondent No.2 shall decide the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law within a period of 30 days from the date of its receipt after considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner.

In the meantime, till the decision of the representation the effect and operation of impugned order dated 07.07.2006 (Annexure P-1) in respect of the petitioner shall remain stayed.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M. (W.) P No.3510/06 also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

(पीछे देखिये)